Complying with the Cosmetics Regulations in the EU and US

Authors: Mary Kerley¹, Concy Aciro², Claire Mathis³, Emily Bergmann³, and Jim Mo³
¹HH Compliance Ltd., Dublin, Ireland
²HH Compliance Ltd., Kent, United Kingdom
³H2 Compliance Inc., Washington, DC-Metropolitan Area, United States











Abstract

Cosmetics manufacturers selling into the European Union (EU) have already encountered regulatory requirements under Regulation (EC) No 1223/2009, and now will face new regulatory requirements in the US under the Modernization of Cosmetics Regulation Act (MoCRA). Certain elements of MoCRA are in line with current EU requirements, but will require manufacturers to consider new strategies for compliance measures in both the EU and US, including for product labelling and safety evaluation. This poster will review the similarities and differences between EC No. 1223/2009 and MoCRA requirements, as well as recommend strategies for collecting and managing information relevant to global compliance for cosmetic manufacturers.

Regulatory Background EU Regulation - EC No. 1223/2009



Every cosmetic that is sold on the FU market must comply with Regulation (EC) No 1223/2009. This regulation entered into force in all the countries of the European Economic Area (EEA), Norway, Iceland, and Liechtenstein in 2013

This is one of the most complicated cosmetic regulations in the world. The risks of non-compliance can result in substantial fines and/or product recall.

Under EC 1223/2009, a cosmetic is defined as:

'any substance or mixture intended to be placed in contact with the external parts of the human body (epidermis, hair system, nails, lips and external genital organs) or with the teeth and the mucous membranes of the oral cavity with a view exclusively or mainly to cleaning them, perfuming them, changing their appearance, protecting them, keeping them in good condition or correcting body odours."

The EU law bans 1,328 chemicals from cosmetics that are known or suspected to cause cancer, genetic mutation, reproductive harm, or birth defects. The law also covers restrictions for substances such as colouring agents. preservatives, and UV filters.

The following information must be compiled and/or actions taken for compliance measures:

- Responsible person manufacturer (if in the EU), importer, distributor, or designated person.
- Demonstrated product safety toxicological profiles of ingredients, compliance with prohibited and restricted substances, and a complete safety assessment, i.e., Cosmetic Product Safety Report (CPSR).
- Correct labelling including:
 - Function of the cosmetic
 - List of ingredients in descending order (by concentration), using INCI names, and fragrance allergens above the level stated in
 - Precautions
 - Name and address of Responsible Person
- Minimum date of durability or period after opening Appropriate claims - must not be misleading to consumers nor imply the cosmetic product has a characteristic or function which it does not
- Product Information File (PIF) including but not limited to:
 - Composition
 - Manufacturing process
 - Stability
 - Packaging
- Notification to the European Commission (EC) Cosmetics Products
- Notification Portal (CPNP) Adverse effect reporting.
- Updating PIF in compliance with regulatory evolution.

EU Member States

Each EU country has requirements around labelling and translations for cosmetic products. These vary by Member State

US Regulations



Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act

In the US, cosmetics are currently regulated under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act)

The FD&C Act prohibits the marketing of adulterated or misbranded cosmetics in interstate commerce.

Under the ED&C Act, a cosmetic is defined as:

'articles intended to be rubbed, poured, sprinkled, or sprayed on, introduced into, or otherwise applied to the human body...for cleansing, beautifying, promoting attractiveness, or altering the appearance'.

This US regulatory definition is more so defined by use. Under the FD&C Act, only 11 chemicals have been banned or restricted from cosmetics, and in addition, color additives must be approved.

Fair Packaging and Labeling Act (FPLA)

The Fair Packaging and Labeling Act (FPLA) is administered by the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) and FDA with regards to consumer commodities, and its aim is to prevent consumer deception.

The FPLA requires cosmetics to be labeled with the following:

- · Identity of the commodity (by intended use, e.g., cosmetic)
- · Name and place of business of the product's manufacturer, packer, or
- · Net quantity of contents, in terms of weights, measures, or numerical count (in both metric and inch/pound units) - in descending order (by concentration)



The Modernization of Cosmetics Regulation Act (MoCRA)

MoCRA (Modernization of Cosmetics Regulation Act) was signed in December 2022. It is the most significant expansion of the FDA's authority to regulate cosmetics since the FD&C Act was passed in 1938. It comes into effect on December 29, 2023, and labelling requirements will take effect at the end of

MoCRA provides the EDA with the following new authorities:

- Inspection of cosmetic records
- · Mandatory cosmetic recalls
- · Suspension of a facility's registration

There are also new obligations for cosmetic manufacturers/suppliers, including:

- . Adverse Event Reporting: The Responsible Person (manufacturer, packer, or distributor of a cosmetic product whose name appears on the label or such cosmetic product) must submit serious adverse events along with a copy of the label to FDA within 15 days after a report is received. Each report should
- Facility Registration: The manufacturing facility must register with the FDA. Foreign facilities must also identify a U.S. agent. This must be completed within a year after MoCRA's enactment for existing facilities and 60 days for
- . Cosmetic Good Manufacturing Practices (CGMP): consistent with national and international standards

- · Product Listing: A responsible person must list each marketed cosmetic product with the FDA, including place of manufacture, product ingredients, and provide any updates annually. For existing product, it must be completed within a year after enactment and within 120 days of placing new product on the market.
- Safety Substantiation: The responsible person is required to ensure and maintain records supporting adequate safety substantiation for their products, using scientifically sound data. Such information must be provided within 30 days of request
- . Labelling Requirements: Will take effect at the end of 2024, and must include:
- o a domestic address, domestic phone number, or electronic contact information where a responsible person can receive adverse event reports.
- o fragrance allergens listing.

US States

The following states (as outlined in Table 1) have specific regulations in place impacting cosmetics.

| Table 1. Overview of US State-Level Cosmetic Regulations | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| State | Regulation(s) | Requirements for Cosmetics | | | |
| California | (1) Proposition 65 (2) Cosmetic Fragrance and Flavor Ingredient Right to Know Act (CFFIRKA) | The only state that requires companies to report harmful ingredients used in cosmetics, as outlined by the Proposition 65 and fragrance and flavor ingredients under the CFFIRKA. | | | |
| Washington | Toxic-Free Cosmetics Act (HB 1047) | Bans PFAS, lead, phthalates and formaldehyde-releasing agents in cosmetics (phased in 2025) and requires further assessment of chemicals that may impact vulnerable populations | | | |
| Colorado | Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl Chemicals Consumer Protection Act (H.B.22-1345) | Prohibits sale or distribution of cosmetics containing PFAS (effective 2025) | | | |
| New York | New York Cruelty Free Cosmetics Act (A. 5653-A/S. 4839) | Prohibits the manufacture or sale of most cosmetics tested on animals | | | |
| Maryland | House Bill 643 (HB0643) | Prohibits harmful ingredients in cosmetic products | | | |
| Minnesota | S.F.8.3.4 | Prohibits PFAS in cosmetics | | | |
| Maine | Title 10, Part 3, Chapter 233 §1500-M | Prohibits sale of cosmetics that have been newly tested on animals | | | |

Comparison of EU & US Cosmetic Regulations

Table 2. Comparison of scope and regulatory requirements and elements of FLI and LIS cosmetics regulations

| EU | | | US (as updated under MoCRA) | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
| Scope | | | | | | |
| Cosmetics Definition | - | Broad | - | Narrow | | |
| Type of Law | | Protective, Precautionary, Transparent, Prescriptive | - | Interpretation-Dependent, Non-Prescriptive | | |
| Legal Responsibility | - | Responsible Person | - | Manufacturers, Suppliers, Distributors, Marketers | | |
| Enforcement | | By EU Member State competent authority | - | By FDA | | |
| Requirements/Elements | | | | | | |
| Notification | ~ | Required | × | Voluntary | | |
| Safety Assessment | | Required with specified elements under Article 10 (CPSR) | ~ | Must substantiate product and ingredient safety prior to marketing, but no prescribed methodology | | |
| Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) | | Required | ~ | Required | | |
| Manufacturing Site Registration | × | Not required | ~ | Required | | |
| Animal Testing | × | Banned | ~ | Alternative methods encouraged | | |
| Preapproval of Ingredients | × | Not required | ~ | Color additives and coal tar hair dyes require preapproval and special labeling | | |
| Prohibited & Restricted Substances | ~ | Listed in Annex II and III of regulation | ~ | Prohibited: Bithionol, Chlorofluorocarbon propellants, Chloroform, Halogenated salicylanilides (di-, tri-, metabromsalan and tetrachlorosalicylanilide), Prohibited cattle materials, Methylene chloride, Vinyl chloride, Zirconium-containing complexes Restricted: Hexachlorophene, Mercury compounds, certain sunscreens and color additives | | |
| Labelling | ~ | As outlined, including list of ingredients, precautionary statements, and function of the product | ~ | As outlined, including ingredient list, color additives and fragrances, directions for safe use, and warning statements | | |

- The overarching goal of the regulations in both the EU and US are to ensure that cosmetics placed on the market are safe and that manufacturers and suppliers/distributors are responsible for the products that they are manufacturing and selling in these jurisdictions.
- US MoCRA has further aligned the US requirements with EU requirements around GMP, maintaining records for safety substantiation (although not yet requiring submission of such safety information), adverse event reporting, and more transparent labelling requirements.
- EU regulatory requirements, in particular the development of a PIF with safety substantiation, and notification to the European Commission, are more prescriptive and defined than those requirements for manufacturers and sellers in the US, where safety should be substantiated, but is not prescribed.

Strategies for EU & US Joint Compliance

- · Currently, the more stringent requirements for the development of PIFs in the EU should be considered first when developing an overall cosmetics regulatory strategy. Data and information compiled for the PIF, provided formulations are the same or similar between the EU and US products, will be relevant for US market
- It is further recommended that prohibited and restricted substances lists in both the EU and US are considered when formulating new or reformulating existing products. Supply chain management and further testing of sourced and manufactured substances is critical to achieving compliance when substances lists are
- . Storing documentation in one place enables accessibility to EU Member State competent authorities and the US FDA as needed or requested. It also ensures that compliance information and data is easily sourced, compared, and in cases where product chemistries are similar, allows for the reuse of ingredient safety reports.